

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/795,771	SHAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy C. Vanoy	1754	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Timothy C. Vanoy.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Adrian Calderone, applicants' attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 January 2007

Time: 11:00 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

21 and 53-55

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed amending claim 21 line 2 by replacing "source" with --oxide-- and also cancelling claims 53-55 via an examiner's amendment. Attorney granted the examiner authorization to enter these proposed examiners' amendments.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Timothy C Vanoy
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)